

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCI United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	PLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/628,223	07/28/2003	Bradley M. Wilkinson	P-5394/1C1	5473	
31948	7590 04/05/2005	EXAMINER			
	HIGHET, VP AND CI	KENNEDY,	KENNEDY, SHARON E		
	CKINSON AND COMP RIVE, MC 110	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	AKES, NJ 07417-188	3762			
		DATE MAILED: 04/05/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

						ラン		
Office Action Summary		A	pplication N	o.	Applicant(s)	7		
		1	0/628,223		WILKINSON ET AL	- -		
		E	xaminer		Art Unit			
			haron Kenn		3762			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communor Reply	nication appear	rs on the co	er sheet with the c	orrespondence add	iress		
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	MORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN ensions of time may be available under the provision: r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (2) D period for reply is specified above, the maximum s ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months ned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a) munication. 30) days, a reply with tatutory period will ap y will, by statute, caus). In no event, h hin the statutory pply and will exp use the application	owever, may a reply be tim minimum of thirty (30) days ire SIX (6) MONTHS from on to become ABANDONE!	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	mmunication.		
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) fil	ed on						
2a)□	•	·						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
•	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	tion of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicat	tion Papers							
10)	The specification is objected to by the drawing(s) filed on is/are Applicant may not request that any objected the specific production is objected to be specifically specific production is objected to be specific production.	e: a) acceptorection to the drawing the correction	wing(s) be h	eld in abeyance. Seef the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CF			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) Noti 3) Info	nt(s) ice of References Cited (PTO-892) ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 o er No(s)/Mail Date <u>07/28/03</u> .			Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D Notice of Informal F Other:	ate)-152)		

Application/Control Number: 10/628,223

Art Unit: 3762

DETAILED ACTION

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action or the MPEP.

Double Patenting

Claims 1-15 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-15 of U.S. Patent No. 6,623,461. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims of this application encompass the claims of the parent.

It is becoming common to delay filing the terminal disclaimer(s) until all the claims are indicated as allowable. However, this places a burden on the examiner and the USPTO to conduct additional paper processing, docketing, and examination.

Accordingly, applicant must file the terminal disclaimer(s) in response to this office action or supply substantive arguments against the double patenting rejection(s).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Claims 1, 3, 6, 8, 9, 11 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by D'Alo et al., US 4,326,519. Note elements 56 and 70 which anticipate the claimed first and second tabs. Regarding claim 8, the fixture is anticipated by tubing 74. Regarding claim 9, the ramped surface is surface 56. Regarding claim 11, the protrusions are surface members 36, 38. It is noted that D'Alo is not a shielding device. Reference numeral 12 is an indwelling catheter, not a shield. The catheter is supposed to slide right off the needle, exposing the needle. However, nothing in the

Application/Control Number: 10/628,223 Page 3

Art Unit: 3762

claim distinguishes from this. Applicant should add language to clarify that in the extended position, the needle shield remains over the needle.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 7 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over D'Alo '519. See the comments set forth in the parent application regarding these claims.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2, 4, 5, 10, 12 and 15 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims, and if a terminal disclaimer as suggested above were filed.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sharon Kennedy whose telephone number is 571/272-4948. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela Sykes can be reached on 571/272-4955.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained by going to www.uspto.gov, clicking on "Status &IFW", entering the application number, and then clicking on one of the tabs to retrieve the appropriate information.

Sharon Kennady
Sharon Kennedy
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3762